

2019 SCORECARD FOR COC RENEWAL APPLICATIONS

The Non Conflict Grant (NCG) Review Committee will use scorecard to rate the local renewal project applications for the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) 2019 Continuum of Care (CoC) Program Competition funding.

The scorecard has four goals:

- Fund organizations that have the capacity to run effective programs (can manage and administer a HUD-funded program, can operate on reimbursement basis, have experience servicing this or a similar population)
- Fund projects that reflect the Palm Beach County Housing and Homeless Alliance (HHA), the local CoC, and HUD's priorities: permanent supportive housing and serving the chronically homeless
- Incentivize agencies to be members in good standing with HHA and actively input data into the HMIS system.
- Ensure that funded projects are being good stewards of HUD CoC Program funding in Palm Beach County and performing to HUD and HHA's standards.

Reviewer:	
Applicant:	
Project Name:	
Project Type:	
Reviewer Signature:	
Date:	

Scoring:

Each application is scored by at least one member of the NCG Review Committee and one staff member of the Division of Human and Veteran Services (DHVS), the CoC Lead Agency. Scores are averaged together to obtain the final score in each category.

PROJECT QUALITY REQUIREMENTS	Maximum	
	Score Possible:	
Further review will be triggered if the application does not score a	PSH:	210
minimum of 33% or 46 points on the scoring tool. The Review Committee will determine if the project is ineligible for inclusion in the final PBC CoC	RRH - DV:	190
application or will receive reduced funding as a result of scoring.	RRH –	
	Youth:	190
	Tie Break:	20
PROJECT SCORE: Final Overall Score of Application		



A.	HHA and PBC Prioritization (10 points possible)	Project Score:
	Dedicating Beds for Chronically Homeless DV, Youth, Family Participants	
1	What percentage of the project's PSH beds are dedicated for chronically homeless Participants?	100%: 10 points 99% and below: 0 pts
2	What % of the projects beds are RRH ; DV; Youth (18-25); Families with children	100%: 10 points 99% and below: 0 pts

B. Match and Leverage (25% required as per regula	Project Score:		
for SS/ Operations & Admin)			
Match and leverage amounts are based on documentation subr	Match and leverage amounts are based on documentation submitted		
by the applicant by the submission deadline. Information subr			
after the deadline will not be included in the scoring of these sec			
In addition, leverage and match forms/letters must be Pro	ogram		
Specific.		0. 1 122	
		Standard Met	
1. Documentation of Match		Possible Score	Project Score
Do the match form(s)/letter(s) sufficiently document the required	25%	Standard Met	
match for the specific renewal project?		10 pts Standard	
2. Leverage		ble Score 10 pt.	Project Score:
Total leverage (list total) \$	\$		
Total \$ request from HUD \$	\$		
Ratio of leverage to request (leverage divided by request) \$			
	Ratio		Standard for
			PBC
Ratio		150% to 199%	4 points
Ratio		200% to 299%	8 points
	Ratio	300% and up 10 points	

C. Project Performance	Project Score:
Unless otherwise noted, the following project perform	ance scores are
based on calendar year (January 1, 2018 to December	31, 2018) HMIS
report. If projects have not been operational for one	e year, the data
will be based upon what is currently in the HMIS syst	em. If projects
have not input data into the HMIS system, the proj	ect will receive
either no points or the most negative points ava	ilable for each
question.	
Possible Points +	PSH =85 RRH DV =75 RRH Youth =75



Populations Served	Possible Score	Project Score
1. Length of time between project start date and housing move-in-date	100% - 80% = 10	Score
What is the percentage of program participants placed in housing within 30	79% - 60% = 8	
days after referral to RRH?	59% - 40% = 6	
days after referral to Mill:	39% - 20% = 4	
APR Q22c	19% or less = 0	
2. Exits to permanent housing	90% or more =	
Did 90% or more program participants move from RRH to PH?	25	
	89% - 85% = 20	
APR Q23a & b	84% - 80% = 15	
	79% - 75% = 10	
	74% - 70% = 5	
	69% or less = 0	
3. Exits to permanent housing	90% or more =	
Did 90% or more program participants remain in PSH or move from PSH to	25	
PH?	89% - 85% = 20	
	84% - 80% = 15	
APR Q23a & b	79% - 75% = 10	
Remain = Q5a	74% - 70% = 5	
	69% or less = 0	
4. Returns to Homelessness	15% or less = 15	
Did 15% or less program participants return to homelessness within 12	16% - 20% = 5	
months of exit to PH?	21% or more = 0	
HMIS Report – Sys PM Measure 2		
5. New or increased income and earned income	8% or more = 2.5	
For program participants who remained in the program, did 8% or more increase their income?	Less than 8% = 0	
Note: This question does not apply to DV applications and should not be included in their final score.		
APR Q19a1		
6. New or increased income and earned income	10% or more =	
For program participants who remained in the program, did 10% or more	2.5	
increase their non-employment income?	Less than 10% = 0	
Note: This question does not apply to DV applications and should not be included in their final score.		
APR Q19a1		
7. New or increased income and earned income	8% or more = 2.5	
For program participants who exited the program, did 8% or more increase their income?	Less than 8% = 0	



Note: This question does not apply to DV applications and should not be included in their final score.			
APR Q19a2			
8. New or increased income and earned income	10%	or more =	
For program participants who exited the program, did 10% or more	2.5		
increase their non-employment income?	Less	than 10% =	
	0		
Note: This question does not apply to DV applications and should not be			
included in their final score.			
APR Q19a2			

D. Serve to High Needs Population		Project Score:	
Unless otherwise noted, the following project performa	nce scores are		
based on calendar year (January 1, 2018 to December 3	1, 2018) HMIS		
report. If projects have not been operational for one	year, the data		
will be based upon what is currently in the HMIS syste	m. If projects		
have not input data into the HMIS system, the proje	ct will receive		
either no points or the most negative points avail-	able for each		
question.			
Possible Points +	PSH = 35 RRH	1 DV = 30 RRH Youth = 30	

Populations Served	Possible Score	Project
		Score
9. Assessment Score -RRH	95% or more =	
Does the assessment score indicate that 95% or more of the program	15	
participants require RRH or more intensive intervention?	90% - 94% = 10	
	85% - 89% = 5	
Note: DV applicants are to be awarded full points for this questions since	85% or less = 0	
100% or their clients are assessed using a different process.		
HMIS Report		
10. Homeless Category – RRH	75% or more =	
Does 75% or more of program participants fall into Category 1, 2 or	15	
Category 4 homeless status?	74% or less = 0	
HMIS Report		
11. Zero Earned Income – PSH	80% or more =	
Did 80% or more of program participants entered program with zero	10	
earned income?	79% or less = 0	
APR Q16		



12. One or more disability – PSH	75% or more =
Does 75% or more program participant have one or more disability type?	15
	74% or less = 0
Note: Singles Projects- SAGE (APR Q13a2)	
Family Projects-Client Track (APR Q22a2 & Q22b2) Report includes only	
adult data.	
APR Q13a2, APR Q22a2 & Q22b2	
13. Homeless Category – PSH	No entry –
Did 100% of program participants who entered program after October 1,	Legacy = 10
2015 from Category 1, 2 or Category 4 homeless status?	100% or more =
	10
HMIS Report	99% - 65% = 5
	64% or less = 0

E. Project Effectiveness	Effectiveness		Project	Score:
Possible Points +	PSH = 40	RRH	DV = 40	RRH Youth = 40

Populations Served	Possible Score	Project
		Score
14. Project has reasonable costs	100% = 20	
Was 100% of project funding allocation expended in the previous grant	90% - 99% = 10	
year?	89% or less = 0	
15. Coordinated Entry Participation	95% or more	
Are 95% or more of entries to program from coordinated entry referrals?	= 10	
	85% - 94% = 5	
Note: DV applicants are to be awarded full points for this questions since	84% or less = 0	
DV programs use a different CE process.		
16. Housing First	Yes = 10	
Does the project applicant commit to implementing a Housing First and/or	No = 0	
Low Barrier model?		

F. Other and Local Criteria			Project	: Score:
Possible Points +	PSH = 10	RRH	DV = 10	RRH Youth = 10

Populations Served	Possible Score	Project
		Score



17. CoC Monitoring Score	0 findings = 10
Is the agency free of any CoC Monitoring findings for HUD programs?	1 finding = 5
	2 findings = 2
	3+ findings = 0
18. Unit Utilization Rate	95% or higher =
What is the program's unit utilization rate?	5
1) Q9 Average = \[\frac{January + April + July + October}{} \]	85% - 94% = 2
Q9 Average V 100	80% - 84% = 1
2) Available Beds or Units X 100	0% - 79% = 0
Q9 Average can be found in APR Q7b for Persons/Singles and Q8b for	
Households/Families and number of units is found in grant application. *	
* Beds for singles programs and units for family programs	

Bonus Questions: (total possible bonus points: 15)	Project Score:
Unless otherwise noted, the following project performance scores are	
based on calendar year (January 1, 2018 to December 31, 2018) HMIS	
report. If the project has not been operational for one year, the data	
will be based upon what is currently in the HMIS system. If projects	
have not input data into the HMIS system, the project will be eligible	
for bonus question points.	

Populations Served	Possible Score	Project
		Score
If the project applicant has additional non-HUD funded homeless beds (not	Yes = 5	
a part of this project application), are those beds being entered into the	No = 0	
HMIS system?		
Percentage of program leavers that were employed at program exit?	50% or more = 10	
	30% - 49% = 5	
%	10% - 29% = 2	
	9% and below = 0	

Tie Breaker (if needed): (Maximum tie breaker points = 20)	Project Score:	
The project must meet the minimum attendance requirements and points will be given based upon the overall attendance for each question.		
Populations Served	Possible Score	Project

Score



Did the applicant participate in HHA meetings? (Minimum of 70% required)% of HHA meeting attended	75% = 2 pts 85% = 5 pts 95% = 10 pts
Did the applicant participate in at least one committee of the HHA and attend at least 60% of the committee meetings? (Minimum required) % of committee meetings attended	1 Committee = 2 2 Committees = 5 3 or more Committees = 10
60% minimum attendance	
Second Tie Breaker (if needed) The project with the greatest leverage will be ranked higher.	
Which project has the greatest leverage?	